

# Executive Summary

## **E-Learning Simulation Products and Services**

**2004**

**By Bryan Chapman,  
and the staff of brandon-hall.com**

725 pages

With traditional e-learning becoming more mainstream, learning practitioners are now positioning themselves for the next, significant movement in the use of technology in learning – namely – simulations. Of course, simulations have been around for many years in a variety of forms, from large scale flight simulators, to role-playing simulations in the classroom, to computer delivered simulations, and, most recently, high fidelity simulations that can be delivered through cyberspace.


Many organizations tell us that they'd like to use advanced e-learning simulations as part of their blended curriculum, but they feel that the barriers to entry (such as high cost and difficulty of creating simulations on their own) are simply too prohibitive.

We acknowledge these barriers, and we have made it the point and focus of this report to identify a wide variety of simulation products and services used by early adopter organizations using state-of-the-art simulation technologies to enhance their e-learning initiatives. We've also put a special emphasis on simulation technologies and custom development services that are cost-effective and focused on development products that can potentially be used by less experienced content developers.

### **Why This Report?**

The purpose of this report is to inform learning specialists, decision makers and those who influence learning decisions about the tools and resources that exist in the simulation market. Most people don't know these tools exist or how they can help reduce costs or improve learning. The companies who provide simulation tools are often relatively small with very little marketing or exposure, yet some of the greatest innovation of the learning market is taking place in this area.

Brandon-hall.com hosts the annual Excellence in E-Learning Awards, and the best training we've seen uses simulation elements throughout. Much of the online content available "off-the-shelf" is still "page turning courseware" and often doesn't produce the type of results possible from online learning, especially when the learning involves high-level skills. If companies creating e-learning would set a basic standard of making at least 20% (as an arbitrary number) of their courses simulation-based, giving learners the opportunity to practice, they would be far ahead of the



average e-learning courseware out there today and find more of the potential results from e-learning.

So why isn't there already more simulation built-in to the courseware available on the market? Cost is one deterring factor. The average ratio for creating an e-learning course is 220:1 (development time versus finished hours). In focus groups conducted by brandon-hall.com, we discovered that the creation of e-learning simulations, on average, takes from 750:1 upward to 1300:1 — when the simulations are custom developed using programming and authoring tools. Many of the tools in this report were designed to bring the creation time more in line with standard development and in many cases exceed that development time.

This report doesn't just cover simulation tools for creating your own simulations. It also covers simulations that are pre-created that can be adapted for different environments and services (from companies that have built their own internal simulation templates and tools; e.g., CognitiveArts, Allen Communication Learning Services, Strategic Management Group, etc.).

The bottom line is that we want to make you aware of what's out there and what's possible beyond creating page turning content and provide you with evaluation tools for finding simulation technologies that match your needs.

## **Research Methodology**

Eighteen months ago, we conducted our first formal study of simulation products and services and published the findings. At that time, we identified approximately 65 vendors that focus primarily on delivering e-learning simulation solutions.

As we started our research process this time, we cataloged 106 simulation vendors. In a short 18-month time frame, there has been another explosion of available simulation offerings, reflecting the growth we projected in our previous report (published March 2002). In addition, we studied the changes in simulation systems over time and found the products to be more dynamic, easier-to-use, and making more efficient use of limited bandwidth resources.

We spoke to dozens of companies that are using simulation technologies and analyzed RFPs (request for proposals) for simulation purchases to discover the most significant needs of simulation end-users/developers. We also asked current users, potential buyers and readers of our previous simulation report to identify the products and services they would like to see covered in this year's study.

From this information, we created a comprehensive questionnaire and gathered comparative analysis information on 54 different simulation products and services. We compiled this information into the simulation vendor profiles and the comparative grids contained in this report, which you can use to quickly identify prospective candidates for your simulation development needs.

To make it easier for you to find the right solution, each simulation product and service has been classified using the following simulation taxonomy:

- Simulation development tool for customers to create their own simulations (commercially available product)
- Pre-built, off-the-shelf simulation(s) with parameters that can be modified by customers for their specific needs
- Pre-built, off-the-shelf simulations that cannot be altered or modified
- Service offering; create custom simulations for clients

Note: In many cases, vendors were assigned to more than one category, based on their respective offerings.

This information can be seen “At-A-Glance” on the top of each simulation vendor profile. Each profile contains detailed information about each vendor and/or their simulation product offering, such as interactive capabilities, level of feedback support, performance data stored during simulation sessions, competitive strengths of each product, standards compliancy, interoperability with learning management systems, whether the simulation requires a plug-in, simulation vendor company size, number of clients, vertical market industries they work with (e.g., finance, healthcare, energy, transportation, etc.), pricing information, etc.

We have also conducted a meta-analysis across all evaluated simulation products and services to assist as you compare product with simulation industry benchmarks.

## **How to Use this Report**

We have organized this report with several resources you can use to identify simulation products using different methods for locating systems. The following is a description of the tools available:

- **Part I – Simulation Types:** Includes a section for each of the three major simulation types: (1) software simulation, (2) soft skills simulation and (3) hard skills/technical simulations. Just choose any one of these section to read about simulation examples. Each section also includes a filtered list of simulation development tools, off-the-shelf simulations, and/or custom developer services for each type. For example, let’s say that you are looking for a tool to create your own simulations, teaching learners how to operate a piece of equipment. First, you find the section “Hard Skills/Technical Simulations” (under Part I – Simulation Types); then, locate the filtered list of tools that match this scenario. You could then use the list to find each matching vendor profile to get detailed information about each simulation development tool on the list.
- **Part II – Forces Shaping the Simulation Market:** This section contains a high-level overview of the trends that are shaping the use of simulations for e-learning purposes. It features a market forecast and commentary by Sam Adkins.
- **Part III – Meta Analysis of Products and Services:** Although the range of simulations that can be produced for e-learning purposes is very wide, we’ve tried to analyze data to show how simulation products and vendors are similar and different. The intent is to provide an overview to help you spot trends and analyze how easy or difficult it might be to find particular features you may be searching for (e.g., LMS interoperability, standards compliance, product support, etc.).
- **Part IV – Simulation Vendor Profiles:** If you are just interested in browsing through available simulation products, you should go directly to this section. Simulation vendor profiles are sorted alphabetically. If you are looking for a specific simulation offering and type; e.g., you want to find a simulation development tool to create soft skills/role-play scenarios, you can browse through the profiles, watching for the correct match in the At-A-Glance grid on the top of each page. You can also see a screen shot showing an example of the types of simulations you can create on the first page of most profiles in the report.
- **Part V – Comparative Grids:** This is the place to go if you want to scan for products and/or services by detailed information, such as scan a list of systems that are AICC-compliant or look for one that has been tested to run with Docent’s LMS, or a vendor that offers hosting services, or one that has 24-hour support. Some of the grids in this section will also help you quickly scan simulation providers that focus on a specific vertical industry. For example, you could use a table in this section to find a simulation vendor that focuses on government/military simulations or custom simulation developers that have a large number of pharmaceutical customers.

# Table of Contents


---

Why This Report?	8
Research Methodology	9
How to Use this Report	11
Why Use Simulations?	12
<b>Part I - Simulation Types</b>	<b>15</b>
Software Simulations	17
Soft Skills Simulations	21
Hard Skills/Technical Simulations	24
<b>Part II – Forces Shaping the Simulation Market</b>	<b>29</b>
Market Analysis of Simulations	31
E-Learning Forecasts (in \$ U.S. Billions)	34
<b>Part III – Meta Analysis of Products and Services</b>	<b>36</b>
Range of Simulation Offerings	38
Assessment of Simulations by Type	39
Simulations Customers by Vertical Industry	40
Adherence to Industry Standards and Specifications	41
Interoperability Testing with LMS solutions	42
Performance Data Captured by Simulation Systems	44
Plug-Ins	45
Cross Platform Capabilities	46
Product Support	47
Average Size of Simulation Development Teams	48
Peer Review of Competitors	49
<b>Part IV – Simulation Vendor Profiles</b>	<b>51</b>
Accenture Learning	55
Access Technologies Group	75
Adacel Technologies Ltd.	89
Allen Communication Learning Services	105
Amethyst Research LLC	113
ATeL LLC	119
Biographix Corp.	133
Click2learn Inc.	139
CognitiveArts	151
Datango AG	161
DigitalThink	173
eDrama Learning Inc.	181
EDT Learning	187
Eedo Knowledgeware Corp.	197
eHelp Corp.	211
eLearnia Inc.	221

Epiance	229
Experience Builders LLC	239
ExperiencePoint Inc.	259
Extempo Systems Inc.	269
Forio Business Simulations	279
Global Knowledge Network Inc.	295
Gronstedt Group	307
Imparta Ltd.	315
Insight Experience Inc.	327
InstallShield Software Corp.	333
InstallShield Software Corp.	341
Invensys Pacific Simulation	349
inXsol	359
Knowlagent	371
Knowledge Dynamics Inc.	389
Knowledge Impact	401
Knowledge Quest	417
LTC: Luttrell Training & Consulting Inc.	431
MediaSpark Inc.	439
MultiGen-Paradigm	449
NETg	457
NGRAIN Corp.	463
OutStart Inc.	477
PriSim Business War Games Inc.	491
Pulse	501
Qarbon	509
RWD Technologies	523
SAP America	537
SIVOX Technologies Inc.	553
SkillSoft Corp.	567
Strategic Management Group Inc.	575
Stottler Henke Associates Inc.	589
Tata Interactive Systems	597
TechEmpower	611
Ulysses Learning	619
WisdomTools Inc.	625
x.hlp	637
XStream Software Inc.	647
<b>Part V – Comparative Grids</b>	<b>665</b>
Comparison Grid #1: Products/Services by Focus	667
Comparison Grid #2: Software Tools	670
Comparison Grid #3: Soft Skills Tools	671
Comparison Grid #4: Hard Skills Tools	672

Comparison Grid #5: Custom Software Creators	673
Comparison Grid #6: Custom Soft Skills Creators	674
Comparison Grid #7: Custom Hard Skills Creators	675
Comparison Grid #8: Company Information	676
Comparison Grid #9: Product Vendors	678
Comparison Grid #10: AICC/SCORM/LMS Interoperability	681
Comparison Grid #11: Plug-ins and Platform Options	685
Comparison Grid #12 (Part 1): Data Tracked	688
Comparison Grid #12 (Part 2): Data Tracked	691
Comparison Grid #13 (Part 1): Product Support Offered	694
Comparison Grid #13 (Part 2): Product Support Services	697
Comparison Grid #13 (Part 3): Product Support Services	699
Comparison Grid #14: Finance/Banking/Insurance Focus	702
Comparison Grid #15: Government/Military Focus	703
Comparison Grid #16: Pharmaceutical Focus	704
Comparison Grid #17: Healthcare Focus	705
Comparison Grid #18: IT/High-Tech Focus	706
Comparison Grid #19: Telecommunications Focus	707
Comparison Grid #20: Industrial/Manufacturing Focus	708
Comparison Grid #21: Energy/Utilities Focus	709
Comparison Grid #22: Retail/Food Service/Hospitality Focus	710
Comparison Grid #23: Transportation Focus	711
Comparison Grid #24: Higher Education/K-12 Focus	712
<b>Appendix</b>	<b>713</b>
Contact List	714
Glossary	720

## PriSim Business War Games Inc.



Sample screen shot of simulation: learner perspective

Simulation Offering(s)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Simulation development tool</b> for customers to create their own simulations (commercially available product)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pre-built, off-the-shelf simulation(s)</b> with parameters that <b>can be modified</b> by customers for their specific needs
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Pre-built, off-the-shelf simulations</b> that <b>cannot be altered</b> or modified
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Service offering</b> ; create custom simulations for clients

## Simulation Categories and Sub-types:

---

Use this section to verify that the simulation product or service matches your simulation subject matter.

**Software Simulations**

**Soft Skills Simulations**

**Hard Skills/Technical**

**Other:**

## Contact Information

---

PriSim Business War Games Inc.  
 150 East Cook Ave., Suite 4  
 Libertyville, IL 60048 U.S.A.  
 Phone: (847) 573-8981  
 Toll-free: (888) 477-4746  
 Fax: (847) 573-8981  
 E-mail address: [info@prisim.com](mailto:info@prisim.com)  
 Web site address: [www.prisim.com](http://www.prisim.com)

## Pre-Built Off-the-Shelf Simulations

---

### Overview of simulation offering(s)

The simulations PriSim creates are focused on strategic thinking, financial acumen, teamwork and leadership. Participant teams manage their own virtual company, competing against each other in head-to-head, mock business simulation. Teams vie for top market share and profitability in a simulated marketplace. Participants analyze their virtual company's internal strengths and weaknesses, competitive position and financial health. Based on this analysis, they implement strategic decisions in a real-time simulated marketplace.

### List of simulations available from the company

- Mini-MBA in Strategy and Finance
- Redline Dealership Simulation
- InsurED Insurance Simulation
- MAGnate Magazine Simulation
- Impact e-Business Simulation
- Deep Pockets Mortgage Banking Simulation
- Cycloan Branch Management Simulation

### Modifiable?

Yes.

**How much is modifiable?**

All details of the simulation can be modified.

**Target audience**

The simulations are targeted to mid- to senior-level management.

**Interactive capabilities**

Interactive capabilities include “what if” scenarios, forecasting, team discussion and online help.

**Advanced feedback capabilities**

The simulations provide competitive intelligence reports on the “teams” in the marketplace. Income statements, balance sheets and cash flow statements are tracked.

**Built-in tracking capabilities (without a third-party LMS)**

No.

**What type of data is tracked during simulations?**

Business performance metrics are tracked, such as financial, marketplace and customer satisfaction.

**Top 3 competitive strengths**

- User interface sophistication and business dynamics design
- Integrated "Memo" engine to enhance the challenge beyond computerized input
- Simulations have real industry focus (not managing a generic widget manufacturer)

**Custom Simulation Development Services**

---

**Overview of custom simulation development capabilities**

The company focuses on simulating each client’s particular business. With these simulations, participants are challenged with managing and leading a company. Teams of participants analyze internal strengths and weaknesses as well as, external opportunities and threats in the situation they are placed. Then, teams establish six-year objectives, goals and strategies.

**Examples of customer work**

Client #1	Chubb Insurance
<b>Simulation target audience</b>	Mid- to senior-level insurance carrier employees and agency managers
<b>Goal/challenge of the project</b>	The goal was to create a simulation of two businesses in one exercise: an insurance company and an agency network.
<b>Description of the simulation/creative approach</b>	The exercise was designed to bring together business partners to enhance their respective understanding of each other’s business challenges. Learners needed to balance performance of both businesses and learn more about the strategic, financial and operational challenges inherent in each business.
<b>Outcomes/results</b>	The simulation resulted in enhanced perspective, business acumen, ability to communicate and coordinate, and greater understanding.



<b>Client #3</b>	<b>Northwestern University</b>
<b>Simulation target audience</b>	"e-business" executives
<b>Goal/challenge of the project</b>	The goal was to show what drives online revenues and expenses in an "e-business."
<b>Description of the simulation/creative approach</b>	The simulation challenged learners to run an online magazine. Learners analyzed visitors/customers, invested in technologies and marketing initiatives, developed content and sold advertising.
<b>Outcomes/results</b>	The course resulted in a better understanding of the challenges of running an online business from both a strategic and operational viewpoint.

<b>Client #4</b>	<b>Rodale Press</b>
<b>Simulation target audience</b>	Editorial, circulation and advertising managers
<b>Goal/challenge of the project</b>	The goal was to replicate a magazine publishing company.
<b>Description of the simulation/creative approach</b>	The company created "MAGnate," which models the editorial, circulation and advertising decisions that are made by a magazine publishing company. Learners acquire a magazine, position it for reader and advertiser expectations, and – hopefully – make money.
<b>Outcomes/results</b>	Future leaders at the client enhance publishing acumen and gain a better understanding of all the decisions that drive publishing success.

<b>Client #5</b>	<b>PNC Mortgage</b>
<b>Simulation target audience</b>	Regional branch managers
<b>Goal/challenge of the project</b>	The goal was to give the user the experience of running a mortgage banking branch.
<b>Description of the simulation/creative approach</b>	The simulation allowed users to assess the branch's market, hire/incentivize producers, price product and establish standard producer activities to maximize branch performance.
<b>Outcomes/results</b>	Branch managers developed a better understanding of what is required to effectively manage their markets.

### **Simulation development team description**

The development team consists of client-supplied subject matter experts, a client project champion and financial analyst. PriSim software developers and content writers work with the client to create the simulation, lecture materials, and pen-and-paper exercises.

**Awards for simulation development**

None.

**Top 3 innovative interactions**

- "Memo" engine that allows inclusion of non-quantitative discussions into a decision round. A team begins a round and must address an in-basket of memos. Each memo must be addressed before the team "runs" the business through the standard simulation. This allows for the introduction of random events or items that are current.
- "Competitive intelligence booth" allows teams to purchase information about the market or competitors. Many simulations provide too much information and participants don't learn the value of asking the right questions. With the booth, they have to first figure out what information is important and then seek out the information. It is designed to teach the value of information.
- "Game show challenge" is a game used to summarize content throughout the course. It's a fun way for teams to compete and get involved with the subject matter.

**Simulation tools used most often**

Visual Basic, Excel, PriSim Utilities Package.

**Special in-house development tools?**

Yes.

**In-house tools available for purchase by end-user customers to create own simulations?**

No.

**Development ratio: hours of development per finished hour of simulation**

- Longest amount of time spent to create a single finished hour of simulation: six months for a two- to three-day course
- Quickest time it took to create a single finished hour of simulation: three months for a two- to three-day course
- Average time to create a single finished hour of simulation: four to five months for a two- to three-day course

**Focus of simulation development**

100% Soft skills simulations.

**Customer types by vertical industry**

30% Finance/banking/insurance

30% Information technology/high-tech

30% Industrial/manufacturing

10% Publishing

**Top 3 competitive strengths**

- Broad industry expertise
- Strong desire to customize (not just modify existing assets)
- Interface design and delivery capabilities

## Additional Product Information

### Simulation product name

Mini-MBA in Strategy and Finance.

### AICC-compliant

<input type="checkbox"/>	AICC-certified (for a list of AICC-certified products see <a href="http://www.aicc.org/pages/cert.htm">http://www.aicc.org/pages/cert.htm</a> )
<input type="checkbox"/>	AICC-compliant (interoperable with third-party LMS products using AICC functionality)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Uses its own system for passing performance data to LMS products
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A; doesn't comply with AICC standard

### SCORM-conformant

<input type="checkbox"/>	Version 1.3
<input type="checkbox"/>	Version 1.2
<input type="checkbox"/>	Version 1.1
<input type="checkbox"/>	Version 1.0
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	N/A; not SCORM-conformant

### Interoperability with third-party LMS solutions

No.

### Successfully tested with the following LMS (or other) products:

None tested by the company.

### Bookmarking within the simulation

Yes; learner can bookmark his/her place in the simulation and return at a later time.

### Level of data automatically captured during the simulation

<input type="checkbox"/>	Start time
<input type="checkbox"/>	End time
<input type="checkbox"/>	Duration (how much time was spent in the simulation)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Date (date last accessed)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Completion status (passed/failed)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Composite score for simulation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Completion status and/or score for each step performed in the simulation
<input type="checkbox"/>	A list of steps performed incorrectly

**Plug-in required vs. Web native**

The courses are stand-alone, executable programs.

**Cross-platform support for engaging in the simulation**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PC
<input type="checkbox"/> Mac
<input type="checkbox"/> UNIX
<input type="checkbox"/> Other:

**Minimum browser required**

N/A.

**Company offers hosted solution**

No; don't offer hosting for simulations.

**Number of companies/organizations using this product**

Five.

**Recommended system requirements for simulation developer**

N/A.

**Recommended system requirements for the learner**

Any version of Windows.

**Recommended server requirements**

N/A.

**Standard list pricing estimates**

\$28,000 = total price for 100 learners

Pricing is per person, depending upon number of seminar days.

**Year this product was first released (version 1.0)**

1998.

**Product Support**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Live telephone support
<input type="checkbox"/> 24-hour support available for NO additional fees
<input type="checkbox"/> 24-hour support available for additional fees
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No 24-hour support available
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-mail support
<input type="checkbox"/> Active user support groups (peer-to-peer advice)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Product conference (live event)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vendor offers training classes available on product
<input type="checkbox"/>	Third-party (training partners) classes available
<input type="checkbox"/>	Vendor offers full outsource courseware development services

## About the Company

---

### Company size (total number of employees)

Seven.

### Number of employees dedicated to simulation efforts (at least 50% of their time)

2	Developers working on simulation products
3	Simulation developers that can create simulation-based courseware for end-user customers (including programmers, authors, instructional designers, authors, writers, project managers, etc.)
2	Other resources, such as sales and marketing, support staff for simulation

### URL for demo simulation (provided for your convenience; link is subject to change)

No online demos are available.

### Top 3 competitors

- BTS
- SMG
- Forio

### Top 10 clients

- Harley-Davidson
- Chubb Insurance
- Delphi Automotive
- ADP
- Raytheon
- Rodale Press
- Northwestern University
- National Semiconductor
- Mortgage Bankers Association
- Signature Flight Support

### Year company started creating simulations

1997.